See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316976948

Patient engagement with surgical site infection prevention: An expert panel perspective

Article *in* Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control · May 2017 DOI: 10.1186/s13756-017-0202-3

CITATIONS O		reads 106	
7 autho	rs, including:		
	Ermira Tartari Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève 23 PUBLICATIONS 41 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	Veronica Weterings Amphia Ziekenhuis 5 PUBLICATIONS 34 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	
0	Andreas F Widmer Universitätsspital Basel 245 PUBLICATIONS 5,618 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	Andreas Voss Radboud University Medical Co 488 PUBLICATIONS 11,924 CITATION SEE PROFILE	

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



WHO's SAVE LIVES: Clean Your Hands global annual campaign View project



Antimicrobial therapy and Biofilms View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Ermira Tartari on 16 May 2017.

REVIEW

Open Access



Patient engagement with surgical site infection prevention: an expert panel perspective

E. Tartari^{1†}, V. Weterings^{2,3*†}, P. Gastmeier⁴, J. Rodríguez Baño⁵, A. Widmer⁶, J. Kluytmans^{2,7} and A. Voss^{3,8}

Abstract

Despite remarkable developments in the use of surgical techniques, ergonomic advancements in the operating room, and implementation of bundles, surgical site infections (SSIs) remain a substantial burden, associated with increased morbidity, mortality and healthcare costs. National and international recommendations to prevent SSIs have been published, including recent guidelines by the World Health Organization, but implementation into clinical practice remains an unresolved issue. SSI improvement programs require an integrative approach with measures taken during the pre-, intra- and postoperative care from the numerous stakeholders involved. The current SSI prevention strategies have focused mainly on the role of healthcare workers (HCWs) and procedure related risk factors. The importance and influence of patient participation is becoming an increasingly important concept and advocated as a means to improve patient safety. Novel interventions supporting an active participative role within SSI prevention programs have not been assessed. Empowering patients with information they require to engage in the process of SSI prevention could play a major role for the implementation of recommendations. Based on available scientific evidence, a panel of experts evaluated options for patient involvement in order to provide pragmatic recommendations for pre-, intra- and postoperative activities for the prevention of SSIs. Recommendations were based on existing guidelines and expert opinion. As a result, 9 recommendations for the surgical patient are presented here, including a practice brief in the form of a patient information leaflet. HCWs can use this information to educate patients and allow patient engagement.

Keywords: Surgical site infection, Surgical wound infection, Patient participation, Empowered patient, Patient involvement, Patient education, Surgery, Hair removal, *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSA, Screening, Decolonization, Hand hygiene, Smoking, Diabetes mellitus, Wound care, Surgical site care bundle, Infection control

Background

Surgical site infections (SSIs) continue to constitute a major challenge to healthcare institutions as a leading cause of healthcare associated infections (HAIs) [1]. The detrimental consequences of SSIs are associated with poorer patient outcomes, affecting mortality, morbidity and increasing healthcare associated expenditure [2, 3]. SSIs are the most common cause of HAIs in income-poor settings and the second most common cause of HAIs in resource-rich countries [4, 5]. Data from low

and middle-income countries showed an overall incidence rate of 5.6%, whilst 2.6% reported in the USA and 1.6% in Germany [5–7]. Yet, a large part of HAIs, including SSIs is preventable.

There are various factors contributing to the risk of SSI occurrence and preventative measures require an integrative approach that focuses through the pre-, intraand postoperative care involving all the stakeholders. Numerous multimodal preventive intervention programs based on guidelines, surgical site care bundles, and surgical safety checklists have been established [8–10]. Despite several advancements in procedures, the optimal reduction of SSIs remains a challenge. To date, most SSI preventive measures have focused on the surgical team with patient participation intervention on SSI prevention unexplored hence, effectiveness of this intervention



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: vweterings@amphia.nl

[†]Equal contributors

²Laboratory for Microbiology and Infection Control, Amphia Hospital, Breda, The Netherlands

³Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

remains to be assessed [11]. In the past few years, active engagement of the patient in the processes of healthcare has gained momentum on a global scale as a means to improve patient safety [12]. There has been a greater emphasis and a marked emergence of more active patients, who are characterized as being better informed, having a more participatory role and being involved in decision-making process concerning their health [13, 14]. Patient participation in SSI preventive programs refers to interventions ranging from patient education to ensure a clean skin pre- surgery to empowering patients to remind HCWs to not shave the surgical site; to perform hand hygiene prior contact with the surgical site; to assist in preserving a warm body temperature throughout surgery and other actions outlined here. This strategy might be useful but its effectiveness has not been researched.

We aimed to identify basic and pragmatic recommendations for patients to be empowered by healthcare workers (HCWs) to seek information at an early stage and actively engage throughout their surgical journey. We analyzed several international guidelines that are considered standards at a global level and identified various effective elements of pre-, intra- and postoperative care where patient can play an important role to ensure that preventative measures have been implemented. The primary audience for these recommendations is the surgical patient and HCWs including infection control specialists, surgeons, nurses and others providing direct patient care, as the basis for developing patient education material and supporting patients throughout their surgical journey with SSI preventive measures.

Methods for the development of recommendations

Five key infection prevention and control experts and infectious disease specialists, with a special interest in surgical site infections formed the expert panel (PG, JRB, AW, JK, AV), and convened a day-long meeting to discuss the topic. All members are scientifically renown in peer-reviewed journals and have made essential contributions in the development of international guidelines for the prevention of surgical site infections.

In the absence of evidence on patient participation in SSI prevention, a systematic literature review was not possible. International published guidelines on pre-, intra- and postoperative measures for SSI prevention, mainly from the World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and their reference lists were searched to identify relevant studies [10, 15, 16]. Of note, for the recently published WHO SSI prevention guidelines, 27 systematic reviews were conducted to support the evidence of published recommendations [15, 16].

To this end, the panel identified 9 fundamental recommendations based on evidence and on expert advice and consensus when evidence was scarce. Based on the recommendations clear and pragmatic implementation strategies were developed in the form of a patient's information leaflet containing proactive information patients require to engage in the prevention of their surgical site infection during the pre-, intra- and postoperative care. This information is intended to enhance the knowledge of the surgical patient towards SSI prevention thus inviting the patient to actively engage in the processes of care. The practical recommendations can be adapted by healthcare facilities in different patient education formats. The leaflet was pretested for readability among individuals of patient representative groups and adjusted according to the remarks provided. The recommendations and the implementation strategy summarized in brief action points for patients to participate during their surgical journey are presented in Table 1.

Education opportunities to improve patient engagement

The information content provided here in a leaflet format has the potential to be translated in other languages and adaptable to local circumstances for use in multiple forms of communication and patient education strategies. Educational interventions are likely to be more effective if they are multifaceted and broadly applicable to meet various health literacy needs across the general population [17]. The evolving healthcare system is marked by the emergence of more active patients and the patient is recognized as a key stakeholder, requiring more information that facilitates a participatory role [18]. Surgeons, nurses and other HCWs might consider various educational programs (written material, illustrations, images, computer technology, smartphone mobile applications, serious games, audio, video and demonstrations) to meet different learning needs and achieve active patient engagement. Utilization of social media and contemporary internet based resources has grown rapidly in the last decade with platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and blogs as emerging resources for patients seeking health information [19]. However, lack of quality information drives individuals to seek information from untrusted sources [14]. Such platforms may offer novel ways to share information on prevention of SSIs well in advance prior to the surgery before the patient is admitted to the hospital during pre- hospital admission visits, telephone conversations and repeated at different intervals throughout a patient's surgical care pathway (i.e on admission, immediately before surgery, after surgery and prior to discharge from a facility). This information can be made easily accessible on the facility websites, on electronic devices given to patients and other formats, whilst providing trusted resources and empowering patients with information regarding their care.

Recommendations	Key actions for patients	
1. Staphylococcus aureus screening and decolonization	For high risk surgery, nasal screening for methicillin-sensitive S. <i>aureus</i> (MSSA) or methicillin-resistant S. <i>aureus</i> (MRSA) carriage is recommended	
	Decolonization treatment with mupirocin 2% ointment with or without a combination of chlorhexidine gluconate body wash prior to surgery is needed for nasal carriage of MSSA or MRSA	
	Apply decolonization treatment at least the night and the morning before your surgery Decolonization treatment for 3–5 days before the surgery if possible	
2. Smoking	Inform your doctor about your smoking history before surgery	
	Quit smoking 4 weeks or longer before your surgery	
3. Hair removal	Shaving is strongly discouraged	
	Do not remove hair at the site of the planned incision when at home Hair should only be removed with an electrical clipper	
4. Hand hygiene	Clean your hands before eating a meal; after visiting the toilet or using commode/urinal; before and after touching your drip (IV line) or drainage bag/tube	
	Visitors should not touch your wound or dressings	
	Speak up if you do not see HCWs clean their hands	
5. Body temperature	Ask about the procedures followed to keep you warm throughout surgery	
	Take a hot shower shortly before the surgery	
	Avoid "cooling down"	
	Ask for extra blankets to keep yourself warm during transportation	
	Speak up, if you feel cold before or after surgery and ask for a blanket	
6. Preoperative showering and bathing	Make sure your skin is clean before surgery	
	Shower or bathe (full body) with either soap (antimicrobial or non-antimicrobial) on the night before and/or in the morning of the surgery	
7. Diabetes mellitus	See your doctor at least one month before your scheduled surgery	
	Maintain stable blood glucose levels before, during and after surgery	
	Inform HCWs about your routine insulin regime	
8. Wound care after surgery	The wound dressing should be kept in place for 48 h after surgery	
	If change of dressing is necessary, this should be done under a clean technique	
	Ensure that HCWs clean hands immediately before changing your dressing	
	Visitors should not touch your wound or the dressing when visiting you	
	Make sure you know and understand how to care for your wound before leaving the hospital	
	Report any redness, pain, swelling or fever to HCWs	
9. Multidrug-resistant organism risk (MDRO)	Inform HCWs about any travel history or previous recent hospitalisation	
	Inform of any known carriage of any MDRO such as MRSA, Extended Spectrum β -Lactamase (ESBL) producing or Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)	

Healthcare workers' role to encourage patient engagement

HCWs behavior can have a major effect and their support is crucial for successful patient engagement activities surrounding SSI prevention. Importantly, HCWs need to acknowledge that an active participatory role throughout the surgical journey may have an influence on patient action to prevent SSI. From in-depth focus groups with patients, Rawson et al. described that HCWs are failing to engage their patients with the decisionmaking process surrounding infections and their management thus, leading to misinformation, frustration and anxiety [14]. Poor communications by HCWs, low health literacy, lack of knowledge and quality of information of the subject have been reported as main obstacles to patient engagement driving individuals to seek information from untrusted online sources [14, 20, 21]. The detailed patient information provided here is designed in an easy to understand language surrounding patient activities to prevent the occurrence of SSI following surgery. Nonetheless, when providing education to patients on the recommendations presented here, HCWs must be mindful of patients' health literacy needs, ensuring that this proactive information is adopted to meet the individual needs and that it is understandable. Emphasis is placed on encouraging an educational environment that stimulates patients to participate in their surgical care, by getting involved in a discussion, inviting and allowing time for questions and clarifications on the information provided. A recent systematic literature review has confirmed the importance of HCWs encouragement as a key component empowering patients to take action on the recommended safety behaviors [22].

Recommendation 1: *Staphylococcus aureus* screening and decolonization

What can the patient do?

- If you are undergoing high risk surgery including cardiothoracic and orthopedic surgery ask your healthcare worker for a nasal screening test to identify methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) or methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) carriage
- If you are a nasal carrier of MSSA or MRSA you should receive decolonization treatment with intranasal applications of mupirocin 2% ointment with or without a combination of chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) antiseptic body wash prior to surgery
- The treatment should be applied at least the night and the morning before your surgery. If possible you should receive decolonization treatment for 3–5 days before the surgery. In this case, the decolonization treatment can be done at home and you should be provided with information or a patient information leaflet on the correct use of the treatment application method.

Rationale

Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage increases a patient's risk for developing a healthcare-associated infection with this microorganism, at least after cardiothoracic and orthopedic surgery [3, 23, 24]. Preoperative screening for nasal carriage and subsequent treatment of carriers with mupirocin ointment and chlorhexidine gluconate washes, reduces the risk for the development of hospital-acquired *S. aureus* infections by 79% for deep infections and 55% for superficial infections [25]. Also, the mean duration of hospital stay is reduced in treated carriers by approximately 2 days. A cost benefit analysis shows that the strategy is cost-effective and saves lives [25].

Mupirocin nasal ointment is an effective, safe and relatively cheap treatment for the eradication of carriage. Nevertheless mupirocin resistance has been reported, and therefore mupirocin should be used wisely for the recommended period of time [23, 25]. Alternatives to mupirocin and chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) are currently under investigation.

Some facilities have experienced problems with the implementation of screening and instead perform universal decolonization preoperatively in the absence of screening [9, 26]. As the majority of patients do not carry *S. aureus* and treatment in this group is not effective, this is not the optimal strategy and should be considered with caution as it may contribute to mupirocin resistance. However, it is considered a better alternative than no decolonization at all.

Recommendation 2: Smoking *What can the patient do?*

- Inform your doctor about your smoking history well in advance prior to your surgery
- Quit smoking 4 weeks or longer before your surgery. Ask for nicotine replacement to help you stop smoking at least temporarily

Rationale

Smoking is a recognised independent risk factor for surgical site infections [27]. Smokers have a higher incidence of complications after surgery compared with non-smokers across all surgical specialties. Former smokers appear to have a lifetime higher risk of healing complications compared with patients who never smoked. Current smokers have increased rates of respiratory complications such as postoperative pneumonia and SSIs. Smoking increases other complications such as wound hematoma, discharge, or dehiscence in the immediate postoperative period. Smoking affects the normal wound healing process and may increase the risk of developing SSIs [27, 28]. Factors responsible for the increased risk of postoperative complications include: nicotine, nitric oxide, and carbon monoxide. Smoking causes endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and progression of atherothrombotic disease. Consequently, smoking cessation is recommended in the preoperative period. This seems to be an effective measure to reduce postoperative complications even if it is introduced as late as four weeks before surgery [27].

Recommendation 3: Hair removal *What can the patient do?*

• At home, do not remove hair at the site of the planned incision (even if asked to do so). The skin may experience microscopic cuts and abrasions that microorganisms can enter and colonize these cuts. If you are shaving on a regular basis you will need to stop shaving near the surgical area at least five days before your surgery to prevent superficial infections • While being in the hospital, ask the healthcare worker in charge of you, if any hair removal is deemed necessary. If you have local anesthesia or if someone wants to remove hair at the planned incision site using a razor, speak up. Shaving is strongly discouraged. If necessary, hair should only be removed with an electrical clipper [29]

Rationale

The hair removal procedure has been performed historically, because it is thought that the presence of hair can interfere with the exposure of the incision, the suturing of the incision and the application of adhesive wound dressings [30]. Nonetheless, hair does not need to be removed preoperatively unless the hair in or around the incision site interferes with the operation. While the data for the timing of hair removal are less convincing, in general, hair removal should be done as shortly before the operation, as possible. The preferred method of hair removal is by using a clipper [29, 30]. Using a razor can irritate the skin and lead to micro lesions. Consequently, microorganisms can progressively colonize the affected skin and thus significantly increase (double) the chance of a postoperative infection. While depilating creams are probably comparable to clippers in regard to postoperative wound infections, some patients may experience skin irritation and in general the procedure seems less practical and requires additional cleaning with water afterwards [29, 30].

Recommendation 4: Hand hygiene *What can the patient do?*

- Clean your hands by using an alcohol-based hand rub or, if your hands are visibly dirty, soap and water.
 - before eating a meal
 - after visiting the bathroom or using commode/ urinal
 - before and after touching your wound or wound dressing
 - before and after touching your drip (IV line) or drainage bag/tube
- Make sure that healthcare workers clean their hands before assessing your wound, preferably with an alcohol-based hand rub solution
- Speak up if you do not see healthcare worker clean their hands before touching you
- Visitors should not touch your wound or dressings. If they need to be involved in wound care they should follow the same preventive measures as healthcare workers

Rationale

As much as 50–70% of all healthcare-associated infections are transmitted through the hands of HCWs due to lack of adherence to good hand hygiene practice [31, 32]. Appropriate hand hygiene of HCWs remains the most effective strategy to protect patients from healthcare-associated infections and limit the spread of antimicrobial resistant bacteria [31, 33, 34]. Yet, compliance with hand hygiene among HCWs is persistently substandard with an average of only 38.7% (range 5–89%) [12, 33].

There is strong evidence supporting implementing hand hygiene activities using multimodal strategies to improve compliance and reduce healthcare associated infections [33-36]. Additionally, involving patients to remind HCWs about their hand hygiene could lead to a sustained increase in compliance when combined with other multimodal strategies. McGuckin et al. showed that when patients were educated on admission to remind staff to clean their hands, hand hygiene practices of HCWs improved significantly, with a marked increase in soap consumption from 34 to 94% [37]. Other studies have shown a beneficial effect from improved hand hygiene practices in patients [38-40]. In a before-and-after retrospective study, Gagne et al. implemented a program to promote hand hygiene of patients and visitors, showing a 51% reduction in healthcare associated MRSA infections [38].

Recommendation 5: Body temperature *What can the patient do?*

- It is important that you do not cool down before and during the surgical procedure
- Ask your doctor or nurse about the procedures followed to keep you warm throughout surgery
- Take a hot shower shortly before the surgery is scheduled and stay under the cover after your shower, so as to preserve warm body temperature
- Avoid "cooling down" and do not put on the surgical gown and stay uncovered long before the surgery commences
- Ask for extra blankets to keep yourself warm during transportation from the ward to and from the operating room
- Speak up, if you feel cold before or after surgery and ask for a blanket

Rationale

Mild perioperative hypothermia, which is common during surgery, may increase patients' susceptibility to perioperative surgical site infections by causing vasoconstriction and impaired immunity [41].

Despite an ongoing discussion within the scientific community, there is evidence of reduced rates of SSIs

when a stable body temperature is maintained (core temperatures near 36.0–36.5 °C) and this so called "normothermia", is part of many guidelines to prevent surgical site infections [42]. In short duration, for a clean surgical procedure, this can be achieved by active warming 30 min prior to surgery; in abdominal surgery, intra-operative active warming (e.g. forced-air warming blanket) has shown to reduce the rate of surgical site infections [43].

Less is known about "passively keeping warm". While "passively keeping warm" was not scientifically compared to "unintentional cooling down", experts believe that all measures should be taken to ensure that a warm body temperature is maintained. A hot shower shortly before the operation may relieve tension or even ease anxiety (due to oxytocin release) but the patient's overall body temperature might drop if the patient is not covered immediately afterwards. In general, patients should avoid "cooling down" e.g. by changing into hospital gowns and laying on top of their bed long in advance before surgery. Patients should ask for an extra blanket when feeling cold and should maintain a warm body temperature even during transportation and at the holding bay of the operation theatre.

Recommendation 6: Preoperative showering and bathing *What can the patient do?*

- Make sure your skin is clean before you are due for surgery
- Shower or bathe (full body) with either soap (antimicrobial or non-antimicrobial) or an antiseptic agent on the night before and/or in the morning of the day you are scheduled for surgery

Rationale

The rationale behind whole body bathing or showering before surgery is to make the skin as clean as possible by removing the transient flora and some resident flora. Showering with an antiseptic reduces the amount of bacteria found on the skin but the effect on surgical site infections in the scientific evidence remains inconclusive [44]. There is no specific recommendation favoring one antiseptic agent over another. Some product may cause hypersensitivity or skin irritation for specific patients therefore an alternative product might be needed. There are no benefits for using body wipes or disposable disinfectant washcloths as compared to shower with unmedicated bar soap have been reported in the evidence for the prevention of surgical site infections. Also there is no specific recommendation in terms of optimal timing for showering prior to surgery, the total amount of soap or antiseptic application [44, 45].

Recommendation 7: Diabetes mellitus *What can the patient do?*

- If you have diabetes see your doctor at least one month before your scheduled surgery
- It is crucial to maintain stable blood glucose levels before, during and after surgery
- When hospitalised inform your doctor or nurse about your routine insulin regime

Rationale

Hyperglycemia is significantly associated with an increased risk for SSIs. Blood glucose levels rise during and after surgery due to surgical stress. Hyperglycemia impairs numerous host defense mechanisms and the risk of SSI increases [46, 47]. It is essential to control serum blood glucose levels for all surgical patients, including patients without diabetes. Well-controlled blood glucose levels have a significant benefit in reducing the risk of SSI development.

Recommendation 8: Wound care after surgery *What can the patient do?*

- The wound dressing should be kept in place for 48 h after surgery unless indications such as bleeding/exudate or abnormal pain are present
- If there is excess wound leakage and a change of dressing is necessary, this should be done under a clean technique (aseptic technique)
- Ensure that healthcare worker performs hand hygiene (clean hands) and puts gloves on immediately before changing your dressing
- Visitors should not touch your wound or the dressing when visiting you. If they need to help, they need to follow the mentioned infection prevention measures.
- Make sure you know and understand how to care for your wound before leaving the hospital
- If any symptoms of wound infection are present (redness, pain, swelling, fever) inform your doctor or nurse

Rationale

The wound is covered with a sterile dressing following surgery and while the wound is healing. Based on best practice and expert opinion, the wound should remain covered for 48 h following surgery, as this is period where initial healing over the wound takes place [48]. A surgical wound dressing is important to absorb leakage and to protect from microorganisms. There is no recommendation towards a particular dressing type; however a dressing such as semi permeable film, which is in use, would be appropriate [49]. It is important to change the surgical wound dressing under specific actions that prevent transmission of microorganisms. These require preparation of a surface area that prevents touch contamination of equipment; HCWs use gloves and apron and do not touch any other equipment in the surrounding environment.

Recommendation 9: Multidrug-resistant organism (MDRO) risk

What can the patient do? Inform your doctor:

- About your travel history within the last year or previous recent hospitalisation abroad, in particular if you have been recently hospitalised in countries within Southern and Eastern Europe, Middle East and North Africa (since these countries are recognized as high risk for MDRO)
- Known carriage of any MDRO such as MRSA, Extended Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) producing or Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)

Rationale

Healthcare-associated infections are a major patient safety issue worldwide. The pace of increase in life expectancies and the ageing population is accompanied by greater prevalence of chronic diseases among hospitalised patients [50]. This, together with an increased use of diagnostics and therapeutic procedures affecting the host defenses will pose a significant challenge for the prevention of healthcare associated infections in the future [51]. Furthermore, resolving the threat presented by antimicrobial resistance (AMR) remains a challenge for healthcare systems threatening patient safety and leading to increased use of broad-spectrum antibiotics [52]. Controlling multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) is important because MDROs are resistant to usual antimicrobial therapy, increase patient morbidity and mortality, add to the cost of treatment, have the potential to spread and act as a reservoir of resistance genes for the transmission to other organisms. While MDRO is a global phenomenon, there are significant regional differences in terms of prevalence and patients who have been hospitalised in healthcare institutions abroad, are more likely to be colonized with MDRO [52, 53].

Patients with infections or carriers of pathogenic/resistant microorganisms admitted to hospital are potential sources of infection for patients and HCWs. Thus emphasizing the importance of surveillance and adherence to infection prevention and control policies for these patients [52, 53]. Of note, admission screening of patients at high risk of MDRO carriage (e.g. patients who have been previously hospitalised in healthcare institutions abroad) allows for additional transmission based precautions (e.g. contact precautions, single rooms) and efforts to limit the spread of MDROs.

Conclusions

The impact of SSIs both on patients and healthcare organizations is profound; therefore efforts should focus on implementing diverse multidisciplinary prevention strategies. Patient engagement in preventing SSI might be an effective and useful strategy adding to the already existent surgical site care bundles. Yet, this topic is still at its infancy and deserves further rigorous studies to support the effectiveness of patient focused interventions in preventing surgical site infections. The elements recommended here, require further testing to define the optimal "bundle" that is effective and is regarded as acceptable part of quality improvement by HCWs and patients as well. Furthermore, patient engagement has the potential to help implementing current SSI guidelines into routine clinical practice. This aspect should be addressed through improving interventions that support patient education and encourage an active participatory role throughout the surgical care.

Abbreviations

AMR : Antimicrobial resistance; CDC: Centers for disease control and prevention; CRE: Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae; ESBL: Extended spectrum ß-Lactamase; HAI: Healthcare associated infection; HCWs: Healthcare workers; MDRO: Multidrug-resistant organisms; MRSA: Methicillin-resistance *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA: Methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*; SSI: Surgical site infections; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

We thank Brigitte van der Zanden, (European Empowerment for Customised Solutions Maastricht, The Netherlands) and Leonard Mermel (Medical Director, Dept. of Epidemiology & Infection Control, Rhode Island Hospital, USA) who provided helpful input in reviewing the patient information leaflet from the patient's perspective.

Funding

This work was supported by a grant provided by 3 M. The sponsor had no role in study design, in the writing of the report or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

JRB receives funding for research from Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Instituto de Salud Carlos III - co-financed by European Development Regional Fund "A way to achieve Europe" ERDF, Spanish Network for the Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI RD12/0015).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

JK and AV made substantial contributions to the conception, the design and reviewing the manuscript. ET and VW drafted the manuscript. JK, AV, PG, JRB and AW contributed to the panel discussions and analyses. All authors critically reviewed the contents of the manuscript and approved the final version. All authors did final editing and approval.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Infection Control Program and WHO Collaborating Centre on Patient Safety, Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland. ²Laboratory for Microbiology and Infection Control, Amphia Hospital, Breda, The Netherlands. ³Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁴Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, Charite⁷ University Medicine in Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ⁵Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena/Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBIS)/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain. ⁶University Hospital and University of Basel, Division of infectious diseases & hospital epidemiology, Basel, Switzerland. ⁷Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, UMC Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁸Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Canisius-Wilhelmina Hospital, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Received: 28 December 2016 Accepted: 3 May 2017 Published online: 12 May 2017

References

- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Surveillance of surgical site infections in Europe 2010–2011. 2013.
- Perencevich EN, Sands KE, Cosgrove SE, Guadagnoli E, Meara E, Platt R. Health and economic impact of surgical site infections diagnosed after hospital discharge. Emerg Infect Dis. 2003;9:196–203.
- 3. Dohmen PM. Economic burden of surgical site infections in cardiac surgery. J Med Microbiol Diagnosis. 2013;2:2–3. doi:10.4172/2161-0703.1000e120.
- Allegranzi B, Bagheri Nejad S, Combescure C, Graafmans W, Attar H, Donaldson L, et al. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet (London, England). 2011;377:228–41.
- Allegranzi B, Nejad SB, Castillejos GG, Kilpatrick C, Kelley E, Mathai E, and Attar H. Report on the Burden of Endemic Health Care-Associated Infection Worldwide: A systematic review of the literature. Geneva: World Health Organization, WHO Document Production Services; 2011.
- Gastmeier P, Geffers C, Brandt C, Zuschneid I, Sohr D, Schwab F, et al. Effectiveness of a nationwide nosocomial infection surveillance system for reducing nosocomial infections. J Hosp Infect. 2006;64:16–22.
- Gaynes RP, Culver DH, Horan TC, Edwards JR, Richards C, Tolson JS. Surgical site infection (SSI) rates in the United States, 1992-1998: the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System basic SSI risk index. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;33(Suppl 2):S69–77.
- World Health Organization. WHO Surgical Safety Checklist Implementation Manual (First Edition). Spring. 2008; September:1–28. doi:10.1111/j.1749-4486.2009.02129.x.
- Schweizer M, Perencevich E, McDanel J, Carson J, Formanek M, Hafner J, et al. Effectiveness of a bundled intervention of decolonization and prophylaxis to decrease Gram positive surgical site infections after cardiac or orthopedic surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2013;346:f2743.
- Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) hospital infection control practices advisory committee. Am J Infect Control. 1999;27:97–132. quiz 133–4; discussion 96
- Birkmeyer JD, Finks JF, O'Reilly A, Oerline M, Carlin AM, Nunn AR, et al. Surgical skill and complication rates after bariatric surgery. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1434–42.
- World Health Organization. World Alliance for Patient Safety. Global Patient Safety Challenge : 2005–2006: Clean Care is Safer Care; 2005. p. 1–25.
- Mohsin-Shaikh S, Garfield S, Franklin BD. Patient involvement in medication safety in hospital: an exploratory study. Int J Clin Pharm. 2014;36:657–66.
- Rawson TM, Moore LSP, Hernandez B, Castro-Sanchez E, Charani E, Georgiou P, et al. Patient engagement with infection management in secondary care: a qualitative investigation of current experiences. BMJ Open. 2016;6:e011040.

- Allegranzi B, Bischoff P, de Jonge S, Kubilay NZ, Zayed B, Gomes SM, et al. New WHO recommendations on preoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: an evidence-based global perspective. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16:e276–87.
- Allegranzi B, Zayed B, Bischoff P, Kubilay NZ, de Jonge S, de Vries F, et al. New WHO recommendations on intraoperative and postoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: an evidence-based global perspective. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16:e288–303.
- Friedman AJ, Cosby R, Boyko S, Hatton-Bauer J, Turnbull G. Effective teaching strategies and methods of delivery for patient education: a systematic review and practice guideline recommendations. J Cancer Educ. 2011;26:12–21.
- Longtin Y, Sax H, Leape LL, Sheridan SE, Donaldson L, Pittet D. Patient participation: current knowledge and applicability to patient safety. Mayo Clin Proc. 2010;85:53–62.
- Butler CC, Simpson SA, Dunstan F, Rollnick S, Cohen D, Gillespie D, et al. Effectiveness of multifaceted educational programme to reduce antibiotic dispensing in primary care: practice based randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2012;344:d8173.
- 20. Coulter A EJ. Patient-focused interventions: a review of the evidence. London Heal Found. :56–84.
- Katz MG, Jacobson TA, Veledar E, Kripalani S. Patient literacy and questionasking behavior during the medical encounter: a mixed-methods analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2007;22:782–6.
- 22. Davis R, Parand A, Pinto A, Buetow S. Systematic review of the effectiveness of strategies to encourage patients to remind healthcare professionals about their hand hygiene. J Hosp Infect. 2015;89:141–62.
- Bode LGM, Kluytmans JAJW, Wertheim HFL, Bogaers D, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CMJE, Roosendaal R, et al. Preventing surgical-site infections in nasal carriers of Staphylococcus aureus. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:9–17.
- Kalmeijer MD, Coertjens H, van Nieuwland-Bollen PM, Bogaers-Hofman D, de Baere GAJ, Stuurman A, et al. Surgical site infections in orthopedic surgery: the effect of mupirocin nasal ointment in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;35:353–8.
- van Rijen MML, Bode LGM, Baak DA, Kluytmans JAJW, Vos MC. Reduced costs for Staphylococcus aureus carriers treated prophylactically with mupirocin and chlorhexidine in cardiothoracic and orthopaedic surgery. PLoS One. 2012;7:e43065.
- 26. Calfee DP. Editorial commentary: considering Universal Mupirocin Decolonization as an option for preventing surgical site infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;62:637–9.
- Sorensen LT. Wound healing and infection in surgery. The clinical impact of smoking and smoking cessation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Surg. 2012;147:373–83.
- Durand F, Berthelot P, Cazorla C, Farizon F, Lucht F. Smoking is a risk factor of organ/space surgical site infection in orthopaedic surgery with implant materials. Int Orthop. 2013;37:723–7.
- 29. Niel-Weise BS, Wille JC, van den Broek PJ. Hair removal policies in clean surgery: systematic review of randomized, controlled trials. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2005;26:923–8.
- Tanner J, Norrie P, Melen K. Preoperative hair removal to reduce surgical site infection. Cochrane database Syst Rev. 2011;CD004122.
- 31. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. J Hosp Infect. 2009;73:305–15.
- 32. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America/Association for Prof. MMWR Recomm reports Morb Mortal Wkly report Recomm reports. 2002;51 RR-16:1–45, NaN-4.
- World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: First Global Patient Safety Challenge: Clean Care is Safer Care. WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data. Patient Saf. 2009. doi:10.1086/ 600379.
- Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mourouga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, et al. Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. Infection Control Programme. Lancet (London, England). 2000;356:1307–12.
- Luangasanatip N, Hongsuwan M, Limmathurotsakul D, Lubell Y, Lee AS, Harbarth S, et al. Comparative efficacy of interventions to promote hand hygiene in hospital: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ. 2015;351:h3728. doi:10.1136/bmj.h3728.

- Schweizer ML, Reisinger HS, Ohl M, Formanek MB, Blevins A, Ward MA, et al. Searching for an optimal hand hygiene bundle: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;58:248–59.
- McGuckin M, Waterman R, Storr IJ, Bowler IC, Ashby M, Topley K, et al. Evaluation of a patient-empowering hand hygiene programme in the UK. J Hosp Infect. 2001;48:222–7.
- Gagne D, Bedard G, Maziade PJ. Systematic patients' hand disinfection: impact on meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection rates in a community hospital. J Hosp Infect. 2010;75:269–72.
- Ardizzone LL, Smolowitz J, Kline N, Thom B, Larson EL. Patient hand hygiene practices in surgical patients. Am J Infect Control. 2013;41:487–91.
- McGuckin M, Govednik J. Patient empowerment and hand hygiene, 1997-2012. J Hosp Infect. 2013;84:191–9.
- Kurz A, Sessler DI, Lenhardt R. Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection and shorten hospitalization. Study of Wound Infection and Temperature Group. N Engl J Med. 1996;334:1209–15.
- Melling AC, Ali B, Scott EM, Leaper DJ. Effects of preoperative warming on the incidence of wound infection after clean surgery: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet (London, England). 2001;358:876–80.
- Lehtinen SJ, Onicescu G, Kuhn KM, Cole DJ, Esnaola NF. Normothermia to prevent surgical site infections after gastrointestinal surgery: holy grail or false idol? Ann Surg. 2010;252:696–704.
- Webster J, Osborne S. Preoperative bathing or showering with skin antiseptics to prevent surgical site infection. Cochrane database Syst Rev. 2015;(2):CD004985. 10.1002/14651858.CD004985.pub5.
- Veiga DF, Damasceno CAV, Veiga-Filho J, Figueiras RG, Vieira RB, Garcia ES, et al. Randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of chlorhexidine showers before elective plastic surgical procedures. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2009;30:77–9.
- Martin ET, Kaye KS, Knott C, Nguyen H, Santarossa M, Evans R, et al. diabetes and risk of surgical site infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016;37:88–99.
- Kao LS, Phatak UR. Glycemic control and prevention of surgical site infection. Surg Infect. 2013;14:437–44.
- Excellence NI for H and C. Surgical Site Infection: Prevention and Treatment of Surgical Site Infection (NICE Clinical Guideline 74). 2008. http://www.nice. org.uk/cg74fullguideline. Accessed Oct 2016.
- Dumville JC, Walter CJ, Sharp CA, Page T. Dressings for the prevention of surgical site infection. Cochrane database Syst Rev. 2011;(7):CD003091. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003091.pub2.
- Hawkey PM. Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: a product of globalization. J Hosp Infect. 2015;89:241–7.
- World Health Organization. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance 2014. Bull World Health Organ. 2014;61:383–94. doi:10.1007/ s13312-014-0374-3.
- Muller J, Voss A, Kock R, Sinha B, Rossen JW, Kaase M, et al. Cross-border comparison of the Dutch and German guidelines on multidrug-resistant Gram-negative microorganisms. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2015;4:7.
- Stewardson AJ, Allignol A, Beyersmann J, Graves N, Schumacher M, Meyer R, et al. The health and economic burden of bloodstream infections caused by antimicrobial-susceptible and non-susceptiblend 2011 : a multicentre retrospective cohort study. Eur Secur. 2011;21:1–12.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

